



**CENTRALINA**  
REGIONAL COUNCIL

# Annual State of the Regional Resilience Collaborative (RRC) Luncheon

June 13, 2024

# Welcome & Introductions

**THANK YOU,**  
**Wike Graham and Charlotte-Mecklenburg**  
**Emergency Management for hosting this**  
**event!**

	2022	2023						2024			
	December	January	March	May	July	September	November	January	March	May	June
Project Management	Re-Engage and Project Timeline				RRC Luncheon: State of the RRC						RRC Luncheon: State of the RRC
Capability Development		Self Assessment, Establish Targets	Improvement Planning, Prioritizing Projects	Review Roadmap, Establish Improvement Metrics			Review Roadmap	Annual Self Assessment and Improvement Planning,			
Grant Development		Summary of Grant Process	Grant Education	Information Collection, Prioritize Projects		Develop LOIs		Application Status, Summary of Grant Process	Grant Education	Information Collection, Prioritize Projects	
PDRP Development		Kick-off	Base Plan	Annex A: Community Planning and Development Committee Annex		Annex B: Economic Recovery Committee Annex	Annex C: Health and Social Services Committee Annex	Annex D: Housing Committee Annex	Annex E: Infrastructure Committee Annex	Annex F: Natural and Cultural Resources Committee Annex	

# Agenda

- Welcome/Introductions
- Lunch
- NCEM Update
- Digital Equity Inclusion Update
- ClimaVision Update
- State of the RRC
- Roundtable Report
  - Each RRC Member
- Next Steps & Close Out - Christina Danis
  - Future Funding and Program Initiatives

# Roadmap Overview

- **Submitted**

- Cabarrus
- Concord
- Iredell
- Rowan
- Union

- **Past Due**

- Anson
- Mecklenburg
- Lincoln
- Monroe
- Stanly
- Gaston

**NON-RESPONSIVE  
= NO UPDATES,  
NO IMPROVEMENT**

**Time to Grab  
Your Lunch**

Lunch provided by  
**Charlotte-Mecklenburg Emergency  
Management**

## **CENTRALINA REGION DISASTER RECOVERY PLANNING PARTNERS**



**CENTRALINA REGION**




---

# NCEM Update



# NCEM Programs/Grants Updates

## FMA 2023 GRANT TIMELINE



Individual Flood Mitigation Projects (acquisitions & elevations)


Infrastructure Projects

Capability & Capacity Building (C&CB)

Over \$800M Available



Emergency Management  
NC DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY



## HOW TO WRITE A WINNING BRIC GRANT WITHOUT HIRING A CONSULTANT

[www.NCDPS.gov/BRIC](http://www.NCDPS.gov/BRIC)



By Kaine Riggan – NCDPS Grant Development Specialist & BRIC Lead





---

# HMP Update

# What are Hazard Mitigation Plans

- Required by FEMA (through the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000) in order for municipal, county, State and Tribal governments to remain eligible for federal hazard mitigation funding
  - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
  - Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) Grant Program
  - Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
  - High Hazard Potential Dam (HHPD) Program

“Hazard mitigation planning **reduces loss of life and property by minimizing the impact of disasters.** It begins with state, tribal and local governments identifying natural disaster risks and vulnerabilities that are common in their area. After identifying these risks, they develop long-term strategies for protecting people and property from similar events. **Mitigation plans are key to breaking the cycle of disaster damage and reconstruction.**”

# Hazard Mitigation Plans in the Centralina Region

**Anson County** Pee Dee Lumber Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Cabarrus County** Cabarrus Stanly Union Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Gaston County** Cleveland Gaston Lincoln Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan


**Iredell County** Iredell Rowan Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Lincoln County** Cleveland Gaston Lincoln Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Mecklenburg County** Mecklenburg County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Stanly County** Cabarrus Stanly Union Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

**Union County** Cabarrus Stanly Union Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan



# Schedule for HM Plan Updates / Expirations

Plan	Recommended Start Date	Proposed Delivery of Draft	Internal Kickoff (with Counties)	Kickoff with Munis/Stakeholders	Public Meeting	HIRA Meeting	Mitigation Strategy Meeting	Expiration Date	Contractor
Unifour	9/26/2023	9/26/2024	2/16/2024	4/11/2024		6/11/2024	7/9/2024	3/26/2025	AECOM
Cleveland Gaston Lincoln	11/28/2023	11/28/2024	1/9/2024	4/1/2024				5/28/2025	ESP
Cabarrus Stanly Union	12/16/2023	12/16/2024	2/8/2024	3/13/2024		7/11/2024		6/16/2025	ESP
Iredell-Rowan	1/23/2024	1/23/2025	4/25/2024	6/12/2024				7/23/2025	AECOM
Mecklenburg	5/22/2024	5/22/2025	4/24/2024	5/30/2024	5/30/2024			11/22/2025	WSP

Pee Dee Lumber Regional HMP was approved 9/22/22 – expires 9/22/27



# How to Get Involved

- Direct participation in the process is still an option
- Public surveys are available for each plan
- Contact me – [nslaughter@espassociates.com](mailto:nslaughter@espassociates.com) or your county's Emergency Management Department

---

# **NCEM Grant Update**



# NCEM Grant Update



---

# Digital Equity Inclusion

# NC DIT



NORTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**INFORMATION  
TECHNOLOGY**



NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Institute for Emerging Issues

# BAND-NC

# Digital Inclusion Blueprint




## Critical Stakeholders



## Blueprint Elements (5-year period):

1. Regional digital inclusion objectives and conditions
  - Statewide initiatives
  - Regional digital inclusion navigator website
2. County-specific plans
  - Asset Inventory
  - Needs Inventory
  - Implementation & next steps

# State of Digital Inclusion Across the Centralina Region



 Digital Inclusion Plan in process



Digital Inclusion Plan complete


# Digital Inclusion and Public Safety

Bridging equitable digital access and public safety


Proposed Emergency Alert Systems digital literacy training program

1. EM Departments
2. Vulnerable Populations

Digital access is critical for inclusive communication before, during, and after disasters strike



# Rank how your current public safety alert system addresses the needs of vulnerable populations with limited digital access.



■ Very Good ■ Good ■ Needs Improvement ■ Not Sure



Digital Inclusion Blueprint Development

---

# EM Engagement




# EM Engagement

- PollEverywhere




## Are you familiar with the North Carolina State Emergency Alert System Plan and its recommendations and regulations?

Yes



0%

No



0%


What mechanisms are your public safety and alert systems using to reach vulnerable populations and who is the lead from your organization?

Nobody has responded yet.

Hang tight! Responses are coming in.


## Does your entity's public alert system send alerts in any language other than English?

Yes




0%

No



0%

Not Sure



0%

# MORE INFORMATION?

---

Please visit <https://centralina.org/regional-collaboration/digital-inclusion/> or reach out to Kate Fersinger at [kfersinger@centralina.org](mailto:kfersinger@centralina.org)



**CENTRALINA**  
Community Economic  
Development

704-372-2416 | [info@centralina.org](mailto:info@centralina.org) | 10735 David Taylor Drive, Suite 250, Charlotte,  
NC 28262 | [www.centralina.org](http://www.centralina.org)



Weather Radar Network for Resilience to Severe Weather

---

# Climavision


# Climavision

## Why Do Coverage Gaps Exist?



# Climavision

## Hamilton, TX Wind Damage (January 8, 2024)



Climavision's reflectivity indicates front reflectivity notches (highlighted w/ navy boxes). Working in conjunction with the radial velocity from Granger, one would be able to determine the beginning stages of rotation in these locations.



# Climavision

We take on the burden of infrastructure and get new, low-level data into the right hands

## Low-level observations are needed


Challenges to solutions:

- Limited funding
- Ongoing investment
- Novel expertise
- Speed


## We're designed to address these challenges.

Our partnerships:

- Accelerate the solutions – radar site partners
- Take on the burden of ownership
- Enable faster, downstream solutions
- Are not transactional, we embed with communities
- Are comprehensive



# Climavision



## Operational Fleet & Plan

As of January 2024

-  NEXRAD at 4,000ft AGL
-  Planned Climavision site
-  Operational (24)
-  Planned 2024 (36)



---

# State of the RRC


**Grant Capability Development**

**Grant Status Update**

# Grant Workshops Schedule



# Typical Grant Application Cycle




# Keys to Success

- **Establish Accounts in all State and Federal Grant Systems**
  - SAM.GOV
  - FEMAGO (go.FEMA.gov)
  - State System EMGrants.nc.gov
- **Develop and Submit Application to State**
  - FEMAGO
  - Family of SF-424
    - *A-B for non-construction*
    - C-D for construction
  - Funding Match Letter and Maintenance Agreements
- **Information Collection – Who, What, Why, When and Where**
  - Collect as much relevant technical information as possible such as:
    - Partners
    - Lifelines
    - Building Codes
    - Nature Based Solutions
    - Future Conditions

# Information Collection

1. Gather any studies or reports that have been done on the project you want to initiate.
2. Look to see if there are any disadvantaged, or underserved populations.
3. How will the project reduce the risk to climate change.
4. Have you included any nature-based solutions.
5. Look up the population that will be affected by the Mitigation project in total.
6. Know the who, when, why, what, and how of the project.
7. Check the jurisdictions building codes for information on nature based solutions, hazard mitigation, etc.
8. Ensure your jurisdictional leaders are on board to do the project.






# Grant Update


- Anson County has provided all that is needed to complete the Grant Agreement.
  - Joseph Parise, taking on the task of leading the awarded project going forward

# Which of the following grants are your jurisdiction considering applying for in the FY24-25 grant cycle:




■ BRIC ■ CDBG ■ HMGP ■ Other ■ None

# Do you have an economic recovery or disaster funds management plan? (2022)




# Do you have an economic recovery or disaster funds management plan? (2024)



PDRP Update

# Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan





# Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan (PDRP) Planning

- ✓ Pre-Disaster Recovery Planning Overview
  - ✓ Base Plan Workshop
  - ✓ Annex A: Community Planning and Development
  - ✓ Annex B: Economic Recovery
  - ✓ Annex C: Health and Social Services
  - ✓ Annex D: Housing
  - ✓ Annex E: Infrastructure
  - ✓ Annex F: Natural and Cultural Resources
- Resources Provided
    - Local Leader Disaster Recovery Guide
    - PDRP Template
    - PDRP Development Guide
    - PDRP Stakeholder Worksheet
    - Base Plan PPT
    - Partner Question Worksheet

# PDRP Progress

- Anson County Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan
  - Plan development with Rodney April-August 2023
  - Kickoff meeting September 2023
  - Annex meetings monthly October 2023-April 2024
  - Closeout Meeting May 2024
- Engaged ~35 planning team members
- Project start-end engagement survey results:
  - 2022
    - **Completed/updated PDRP in last 5 years?** 1 yes (Iredell), 7 no, 2 unsure
    - **Interested in PDRP support?** 9 yes
  - 2024
    - **Any in progress?** 1 base plan, 2 annexes
    - **Any completed?** None


# Plans your jurisdiction has completed (2022)



Existing Recovery Plans




# Has your jurisdiction completed a current Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan? (2024)




■ Yes ■ Not Started ■ In Progress

# Which sections of the Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan (PDRP) has your jurisdiction started developing/updating?




# Plans your jurisdiction has interest in developing (2022)




Recovery Planning Interest

# All CURRENT plans your jurisdiction has developed (2024)



# Which of these plans are accessible to your stakeholders:



- Emergency Operations Plan
- Continuity of Operations Plan
- Community Master Plan
- Cyber Attack Recovery Plan
- Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan

# **Centralina Resilience Equity and Hazard Assessment Tool (REHAT)**

# REHAT Features


- IEM's Geospatial Team built out the new **Centralina Resilience Equity and Hazard Assessment Tool (REHAT)**
  - Can map out critical infrastructure in the Centralina region
  - New interface and updated data
  - Includes comprehensive source data information and FEMA Community Lifelines
- [Centralina Web App \(arcgis.com\)](https://arcgis.com)

**Recovery Capability Development**

**Roadmap to  
Resilient Recovery  
Status**



# Resilience Roadmap



# Roadmap Overview

- **Submitted**

- Cabarrus
- Concord
- Iredell
- Rowan
- Union


- **Past Due**

- Anson
- Mecklenburg
- Lincoln
- Monroe
- Stanly
- Gaston

**NON-RESPONSIVE  
= NO UPDATES,  
NO IMPROVEMENT**

# Review of Self-Assessment

## 2022 - Assessment




Roadmap	Resilient Recovery Roadmap		Program Implementation Self-Assessment				
			Not Identifiable	Limited Activity	Active Program	Robust Program	Best Practice
	Recommended Activities	Suggested Elements	0	1	2	3	4
Part 1: Organizing	Organize Local Leadership	Champions identified and engaged in program		1	2	4	
	Involve the Whole Community	Whole Community representatives identified and engaged		3	2		2
	Establish Coordination Methods	Voluntary Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD) developed and engaged	2	2	1	2	
		Local Emergency Planning Committees Community Resilience Committees	3	4	4	2	1
Part 2: Recovery Planning	Assess Capabilities and Threats	Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR)	1	2	2	1	1
		Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)			2	3	2
		Hazard Vulnerability Assessment			2	2	3
	Create Recovery Plans	Long-Term Recovery Plan developed	2	3	2		
		Metrics for successful recovery established	2	3	2		
	Integrate Hazard Mitigation with Recovery	Recovery goals determined	1	3	3		
		Mitigation actions integrated with recovery programs	2	3	1		1
	Prioritize Projects	Recovery priorities determined	1	1	5		
		Additional prioritization considerations	2	1	2	1	1
	Implement Resilient Systems	Implementing resilient systems approach		4	2		1
Integrate Approach to Recovery Programs	Implementing integrated recovery approach	1	5			1	
Part 3: Managing Recovery Programs	Identify Recovery Staffing	Recovery staffing identified	1	2	3		1
	Manage Financials	Finance Department engaged in recovery programs	1	2	1	2	1
		Recovery financial plan developed	2	4	1		
	Leverage Available Resources	Supporting Whole Community financial resilience	3	4			
		Existing recovery resources identified and engaged	1	1	5		
	Pursue Grant Opportunities	FEMA grant opportunities assessed	1	1	2	2	1
		Other grant opportunities assessed	1	1	1	3	1
	Implement Improvement Process	Application developed/submitted	1	2	3		1
Improvement process implemented		2	1	4			
Determined recovery program success factors		2	3	2			
Regularly assess progress and implement improvements	2	3	2				
Total:		34	59	56	22	18	
Recovery Program Self-Assessment Score *							1.64

\* A score of 2 indicates an active recovery program. The score is determined by adding up the value of all of the indicated elements and dividing that number by the total number of elements.

# Roadmap Progress

## 2023 - Assessment




Roadmap	Resilient Recovery Roadmap		Program Implementation Self-Assessment					
			Not Identifiable	Limited Activity	Active Program	Robust Program	Best Practice	
Roadmap	Recommended Activities	Suggested Elements	0	1	2	3	4	
Part 1: Organizing	Organize Local Leadership	Champions identified and engaged in program	1	2	4	4	0	
	Involve the Whole Community	Whole Community representatives identified and engaged	0	4	5	0	2	
	Establish Coordination Methods	Voluntary Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD) developed and engaged	2	3	1	4	0	
		Local Emergency Planning Committees	1	0	6	2	2	
		Community Resilience Committees	6	4	0	1	0	
Part 2: Recovery Planning	Assess Capabilities and Threats	Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR)	3	2	4	1	1	
		Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)	0	0	3	6	2	
			Hazard Vulnerability Assessment	0	0	4	4	3
	Create Recovery Plans	Long-Term Recovery Plan developed	5	3	2	1	0	
		Metrics for successful recovery established	4	4	2	1	0	
		Recovery goals determined	3	4	3	1	0	
	Integrate Hazard Mitigation with Recovery	Mitigation actions integrated with recovery programs	2	6	1	1	1	
			Recovery priorities determined	3	1	6	1	0
	Prioritize Projects	Additional prioritization considerations	4	2	4	0	1	
			Implementing resilient systems approach	1	4	4	0	1
Implement Resilient Systems	Integrate Approach to Recovery Programs	2	6	1	0	1		
		Implementing integrated recovery approach	2	6	1	0	1	
Part 3: Managing Recovery Programs	Identify Recovery Staffing	Recovery staffing identified	3	3	3	0	2	
		Finance Department engaged in recovery programs	2	4	1	2	2	
	Manage Financials	Recovery financial plan developed	6	2	3	0	0	
		Supporting Whole Community financial resilience	4	6	1	0	0	
	Leverage Available Resources	Existing recovery resources identified and engaged	1	2	6	2	0	
		FEMA grant opportunities assessed	0	1	5	3	2	
	Pursue Grant Opportunities	Other grant opportunities assessed	0	2	3	5	1	
		Application developed/submitted	2	2	5	0	2	
	Implement Improvement Process	Improvement process implemented	4	2	4	1	0	
		Determined recovery program success factors	4	4	2	1	0	
Regularly assess progress and implement improvements		4	4	3	0	0		
Total:			67	77	86	41	23	
Recovery Program Self-Assessment Score *			2.07					

\* A score of 2 indicates an active recovery program. The score is determined by adding up the value of all of the indicated elements and dividing that number by the total number of elements.

# Roadmap Progress

## 2024 – Initial Assessment




Roadmap	Resilient Recovery Roadmap		Program Implementation Self-Assessment					
			Not Identifiable	Limited Activity	Active Program	Robust Program	Best Practice	
Roadmap	Recommended Activities	Suggested Elements	0	1	2	3	4	
Part 1: Organizing	Organize Local Leadership	Champions identified and engaged in program	1	3	2	5	0	
	Involve the Whole Community	Whole Community representatives identified and engaged	0	5	4	0	2	
	Establish Coordination Methods	Voluntary Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD) developed and engaged	3	3	1	4	0	
		Local Emergency Planning Committees Community Resilience Committees	1 6	0 4	6 0	2 1	2 0	
Part 2: Recovery Planning	Assess Capabilities and Threats	Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR)	3	1	5	1	1	
		Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA) Hazard Vulnerability Assessment	0 0	0 0	4 5	5 4	2 2	
	Create Recovery Plans	Long-Term Recovery Plan developed Metrics for successful recovery established	4 4	4 4	2 2	1 1	0 0	
		Recovery goals determined	2	6	2	1	0	
	Integrate Hazard Mitigation with Recovery	Mitigation actions integrated with recovery programs	3	5	0	2	1	
		Recovery priorities determined Additional prioritization considerations	2 3	2 3	6 4	1 0	0 1	
	Implement Resilient Systems	Implementing resilient systems approach	1	2	6	0	1	
	Integrate Approach to Recovery Programs	Implementing integrated recovery approach	1	6	2	0	1	
	Part 3: Managing Recovery Programs	Identify Recovery Staffing	Recovery staffing identified	1	4	3	1	2
		Manage Financials	Finance Department engaged in recovery programs Recovery financial plan developed	1 6	3 3	3 2	2 0	2 0
Supporting Whole Community financial resilience			3	5	4	0	0	
Leverage Available Resources		Existing recovery resources identified and engaged FEMA grant opportunities assessed	0 0	1 1	8 4	2 4	0 2	
		Other grant opportunities assessed	0	2	2	6	1	
Pursue Grant Opportunities		Application developed/submitted	1	3	4	0	2	
		Improvement process implemented	3	3	4	1	0	
Implement Improvement Process		Determined recovery program success factors Regularly assess progress and implement improvements	3 3	5 5	1 3	2 0	0 0	
	<b>Total:</b>		<b>55</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>22</b>	
<b>Recovery Program Self-Assessment Score *</b>			<b>2.15</b>					

\* A score of 2 indicates an active recovery program. The score is determined by adding up the value of all of the indicated elements and dividing that number by the total number of elements.

# Roadmap Progress

2024 – FINAL Assessment



Roadmap	Resilient Recovery Roadmap		Program Implementation Self-Assessment				
			Not Identifiable	Limited Activity	Active Program	Robust Program	Best Practice
Roadmap	Recommended Activities	Suggested Elements	0	1	2	3	4
Part 1: Organizing	Organize Local Leadership	Champions identified and engaged in program	1	1	2	7	0
	Involve the Whole Community	Whole Community representatives identified and engaged	0	4	4	1	2
	Establish Coordination Methods	Voluntary Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD) developed and engaged	1	4	2	4	0
		Local Emergency Planning Committees	1	0	6	1	3
	Community Resilience Committees	5	5	0	1	0	
Part 2: Recovery Planning	Assess Capabilities and Threats	Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR)	2	2	5	1	1
		Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)	0	0	5	4	2
		Hazard Vulnerability Assessment	0	0	5	4	2
	Create Recovery Plans	Long-Term Recovery Plan developed	4	3	3	1	0
		Metrics for successful recovery established	4	3	3	1	0
	Integrate Hazard Mitigation with Recovery	Recovery goals determined	2	5	3	1	0
		Mitigation actions integrated with recovery programs	2	5	0	2	1
	Prioritize Projects	Recovery priorities determined	2	1	7	1	0
		Additional prioritization considerations	2	3	4	1	1
	Implement Resilient Systems	Implementing resilient systems approach	1	1	6	1	1
Integrate Approach to Recovery Programs	Implementing integrated recovery approach	1	5	3	0	1	
Part 3: Managing Recovery Programs	Identify Recovery Staffing	Recovery staffing identified	1	3	3	1	3
	Manage Financials	Finance Department engaged in recovery programs	1	2	3	3	2
		Recovery financial plan developed	5	3	2	0	0
	Leverage Available Resources	Supporting Whole Community financial resilience	2	5	3	0	0
		Existing recovery resources identified and engaged	0	0	9	2	0
	Pursue Grant Opportunities	FEMA grant opportunities assessed	0	0	4	5	2
		Other grant opportunities assessed	0	1	2	7	1
	Implement Improvement Process	Application developed/submitted	1	2	4	0	3
		Improvement process implemented	2	4	4	1	0
Determined recovery program success factors		2	5	1	3	0	
	Regularly assess progress and implement improvements	2	6	3	0	0	
<b>Total:</b>			44	73	96	53	25
<b>Recovery Program Self-Assessment Score *</b>			<b>2.34</b>				

\* A score of 2 indicates an active recovery program. The score is determined by adding up the value of all of the indicated elements and dividing that number by the total number of elements.

# Roadmap Update


- **Roadmap Progress**

- Baseline: 1.64
- Mid Point: 2.07
- Final: 2.34

- **14% increase** in Resilience Capabilities in a 2-year span.

- **Next Target** is 25% improvement from the baseline within the next two years (11% increase).


# Are the Improvement Targets identified for the RRC members still reflective of your Jurisdiction's priorities?



■ Yes ■ No




# Are the Implementation Approaches identified for the RRC members still reflective of your Jurisdiction's priorities?




■ Yes ■ No

# How has your staffing capacity changed over the last couple years:



- Increased Staffing
- Same Staffing
- Same Staffing, but with replacements

# How has your participation in the RRC helped to strengthen your community's resilience:




■ Very Helpful


■ Significantly Helpful

# Rank the support provided by the RRC over the last couple years by most helpful to least helpful. (2024)

- RRC Workshpos
- Whole Community Engagement
- Peer Discussion
- Annual Luncheon
- Planning Technical Assistance
- Grant Technical Assistance
- Integrate Approach to Recovey Programs



# Select the top three areas of the Resilient Recovery Roadmap would you want the RRC to support next?



■ Access Capabilities and Threats

■ Implement Resilient Systems

■ Involve Whole Community

■ Integrate Approach to Recovery Programs

■ Create Recovery Plans

■ Integrate Hazard Mitigation with Recovery

■ Prioritize Projects

Union County

---

# Case Study

# Case Study: Union County

- How the Recovery Manager funded and implemented

# Case Study: Union County

How is the position funded, now and in the future?



# Case Study: Union County



How does it fit into the County's organizational structure and why it was placed in the org chart in that manner.



---

# Roundtable Report

# Roundtable Report





# Anson County

Rodney Diggs



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

# Roundtable Report



# Cabarrus County & City of Concord



Jason Burnett

Ian Crane




- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

# Roundtable Report



# Iredell County



Kent Greene



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned



# Roundtable Report






# Lincoln County

Mark Howell



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

# Roundtable Report





# City of Charlotte- Mecklenburg County

Wike Graham



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

# Roundtable Report




# Rowan County

TJ Brown



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

# Roundtable Report



# Stanly County




Michael Roark



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned



# Roundtable Report



# Union County

Andrew Ansley



- Recovery Program Progress
- Lessons Learned

---

# Next Steps & Closeout

# Next Steps/Action Items

- The Future of the Regional Resilience Collaborative ...

# Contact Information

**Benj Korson**  
***Project Manager***

IEM  
940-372-2761  
Benj.Korson@iem.com

**Jeanne Bunting**  
***Grant Development***

IEM  
785-554-9978  
Jeanne.Bunting@iem.com

**Christina Danis, PhD., AICP**  
***Director of Community Economic Development***

Centralina  
704-688-6502  
[cdanis@centralina.org](mailto:cdanis@centralina.org)

**Kate Fersinger, MPA**  
***Community Economic Development Coordinator***

Centralina  
980-369-6601  
[kfersinger@centralina.org](mailto:kfersinger@centralina.org)

**Katie Canady**  
***Pre-Disaster Recovery Plan***

IEM  
443-604-5769  
Katie.Canady@iem.com

**Dietz Miller**  
***Planner and Project Support***

IEM  
Landry.Miller@iem.com

# THANK YOU

---

704-372-2416 | [info@centralina.org](mailto:info@centralina.org) | 10735 David Taylor Drive, Suite 250, Charlotte, NC 28262

[www.Centralina.org](http://www.Centralina.org)